Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ		Application No. Applicant(s)		
	ımary	09/905,384	RAMASWAMY, SURE	SH
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Lilian Vo	2195	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>RCE</u>				
(1) <u>Lilian Vo</u> .		(3)		
(2) <u>Chad King</u> .		(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>21 November 2005</u>		Time: <u>3;40pm</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:				
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed: 1, 10 and 17.				
Prior art documents discussed: N/A				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant's attorney authorized an examiner's amendment to clarify some outstanding issues in claims 1, 10 and 17.				
Part III.				
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
MENGALT. AN SUTUSION PATENT EXAMINER NULOGY CENTER 2100				
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applican	t/Applicant's Representat	ve Signature – if appropriat	<u>e)</u>